Review Article

BIOMEDICAL Journal of Scientific & Technical Research

ISSN: 2574 -1241

DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2023.52.008230

Review: Roles of Some Abiotic Stresses on Planet Growth Characters

Soha E Khalil*

Department of Water Relations and Field Irrigation, National Research Center, Egypt

***Corresponding author:** Soha E Khalil, department head of Water Relation and Field Irrigation, National Research Center, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

ARTICLE INFO

Received: August 02, 2023

Published: August 18, 2023

Citation: Soha E Khalil. Review: Roles of Some Abiotic Stresses on Planet Growth Characters. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res 52(2)-2023. BJSTR. MS.ID.008230.

ABSTRACT

Abiotic stress like salt, dry spell, chilly, high temperature and weighty metals generally impact crop efficiency and improvement. Abiotic stresses a primary danger for sanitation because of the crumbling of climate brought about by human movement and steady changes of environment. Great comprehension of the yield responsiveness to stretch conditions will help in both present day and customary reproducing procedures towards further developing pressure resilience.

Introduction

Abiotic stress like salt, dry spell, cool, high temperature and weighty metals to a great extent impact crop efficiency and advancement. Abiotic stress is a primary danger for sanitation because of the disintegration of climate brought about by human action and consistent changes of environment. To deal with effective abiotic stress issue various yields start some physiological, atomic, and cell alterations to adjust and react to stresses. Great comprehension of the harvest responsiveness to push conditions will help in both current and customary reproducing methods towards further developing pressure resistance. Saltiness and dry season are two primary risky abiotic stresses that danger various harvests yield and development all over the planet [1]. 33% of the total populace lives in water scant regions [2]. Abiotic stress results from the improvement of monetary areas, populace development and the opposition for water assets [3]. It is normal that water pressure seriousness will build due to environmental change, which came about because of expanding vanishing because of a worldwide temperature alteration [4]. Water pressure impact over 10% of arable land; prompting desertification, while salinization is quickly expanding drastically declining normal yields of various harvests. Besides, expanding salt fixation in the dirt layers diminished the water capability of soil that seriously influences plant tissue relative water content and plant water conductance [5]. Overabundance salts gathering in soils prompt decrease in water likely upsides of soil arrangement that makes trouble for plants ingest the water from soil prompting "osmotic pressure." High salts decline plant advancement in light of the fact that these enormous measure of salts animates the utilization of energy that the plant should use to take water from the dirt arrangement and to work on its physiological changes. This prompts diminished yield and development of plants. Salt pressure decline the overall leaf water content, water potential, leaf water connection boundaries, osmotic potential, turgor potential, and eventually restrained plant development and diminished the yields new weight [6]. Water stress happen because of water shortfall, brought about by high soil saltiness or dry spell. In the event of high saltiness, water exists in the dirt however plants can't take-up it, which is called physiological dry spell [7]. At the point when water is steadily lost from a totally soaked soil, first and foremost by depleting unreservedly under the impact of gravity, and the pace of misfortune logically dials back till no more water depletes away, the dirt is called to be at field limit. Further diminishing in water by take-up by plant

roots or by dissipation lessens the water content of the dirt, till no more misfortune, a phase called the shriveling point at which roots can't ingest water vital to address their issues, and the plants shrink and die [8,9]. Understanding resistance system to saltiness and dry season is important to give experiences into the resilience instrument against these abiotic stresses at sub-atomic, physiological, and biochemical levels.

Salinityl

Saltiness is the most abiotic stresses in bone-dry and semi-parched locales, where over 6% of the world surfaces are salt impacted. Nacl is the best inescapable, bountiful and dissolvable salt on the planet [10]. In the dry and semiarid districts, absence of natural and inorganic supplements and high pace of evapotranspiration causing expansion in soil saltiness and sodicity [11]. The significant reason for pungent soil could be the utilization of inferior quality water system water. Where, the top notch water is involved all the time for homegrown purposes or in industry and contaminated or pungent water is utilized for lands development [12]. Pungent soils can be isolated by the electrical conductivity of the dirt immersion remove (EC) and by definition, in to soils with EC‟s of 4 dS/m or more are accounted as saline soils and soils with EC‟s more prominent than 15 dS/m which accounted as emphatically saline soils [13]. The elements that liable for soil salinization are numerous in number, for example, human exercises, geology of grounds, environment and salt constituents [14]. As indicated by salt piece, various anions and cations are liable for soil salinization yet the main particle encourage are Cl-and Na+ where Cl-causes high poisonousness and supplement irregular characteristics in plants, while Na+ especially causes the dirt scattering (Hasegawa, et al. [15]). Expanding the groupings of salt in soil layers changes plant normal interaction, for example, atomic, biochemical and physiological cycles as well as harvest yield that are diminished by soil or water salinization as far as amount and quality [16,17].

Force of saltiness relies upon the material science and science of soils, the convergence of salt in water system water, plants type, water system timetables and plant development stages [18]. To adapt to this issue, it is vital to work on the salt open minded genotypes. At low saltiness levels the plant injury are brought about by healthful awkward nature, osmotic pressure, and particle poisonousness [19]. While, under moderate up to high saltiness levels, the healthful awkward nature are brought about by the impedances of salt particles and their harmfulness, which came about because of the gathering of particles particularly Na+ and Cl-which are the significant reason for saltiness on biochemical and physiological sythesis in various yield plants [20]. Endeavors to deliver salt lenient genotypes need a decent comprehension of the impacts of saltiness on plants of various harvests, reactions of plants as far as, biochemical, sub-atomic and physiological exercises to saltiness and acknowledgment of mind boggling systems of salt resilience in plants [21].

Morphological Effects of Salt Stress on Crop Plants

Numerous scientists recorded decrease in plants development under salt pressure conditions, however the level of this decrease relied upon ecological conditions, level of salt, phases of development and sort of plants. Saltiness causes decrease in germination rate, germination list, seedling length, germination rate, root/shoot length proportion and seed power [22]. Saltiness restrains quickly stems and leaves development, though roots stretching might increment. Particle poisonousness is the principle reason of development decrease under saline conditions [23]. Numerous analysts recorded that plant development diminished under saline water system condition. The main impact of saltiness on plants is the decrease in its development boundaries brought about by the fall in osmotic potential which diminished the take-up of supplements and water by focused on roots [24]. Root and shoot development decreases are more clear and cause extreme, senescence, rot, and chlorosis, of old and youthful leaves [25]. Saltiness has additionally been found to change the morphology of root framework and abatement the plant absolute root length [26]. An overall decrease in new and dry loads has been recorded in most plant tissues presented to saltiness, and it is perceptible in the shoot framework. Various scientists have uncovered the decrease in new and dry loads to the decline in the quantity of leaves or in leaf abscissions. Another regular The lessening in leaves number because of saltiness could be added to its immediate impact on cell division which came about because of fall in nucleic corrosive blend as well as feeling of its separate. The lessening in leaf number due to salt pressure could likewise be because of the expansion in leaf abscission brought about by hormonal irregularity that brought about by the increment in ABA and abatement in IAA levels in focused on plants whenever contrasted with control leaf [27]. The decline in the leaf region may be considered as an opposition instrument that limits the deficiency of water through happening [28]. Expanding salt fixation in water system water was restricting leaf region, caused plant development decrease, and changing the connection among root and the airborne parts. Saltiness stress makes different yield plants showed drier root mass than shoot, making expansion in root shoot proportion [29]. The progressions shaped in leaf life systems are additionally a significant technique to concentrate on the impact of abiotic stress, remembering salt pressure for various harvests [30]. A field explore was finished by (Longstreth and Nobel [31]), to concentrate on the impact of various saltiness levels on three plants with various reactions to saltiness (Atriplex patula, salt-open minded plant; Gossypium hirsutum, decently salt-lenient plant; and Phaseolus vulgaris, salt-delicate plant). To establish the reactions occurred in plant leaf life systems, these researcher saw different leaf characters, similar to leaf deliciousness, epidermal, mesophyll and leaf thickness; the surface area of mesophylls per unit leaf surface region; the distance across of light cells; the length and breadth of palisade cells and the proportion of mesophyll cell surface region to leaf surface region. The

salt-lenient specie which were flooded with various saline arrangements (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 M), uncovered more leaf thickness came about because of the increment in mesophyll and epidermal thickness. At long last, incredible increments were acquired in the leaf deliciousness records. While inverse impacts were gotten in the other two species which were less open minded to salt pressure. Likewise, (Romero-Aranda, et al. [32]) recorded physical unsettling influences brought about by chloride salts, for example, NaCl, KCl and ClCa2 in both open minded (Cleopatra mandarin) and delicate (Carrizo citrange) citrus assortments. They saw changes in leaf physical characters in the two assortments, like the increment in the lower region/volume proportion of mesophyll cells and leaf thickness. Salt pressure additionally decreased the intercellular air spaces and expanded the deliciousness of leaves and the surface/volume proportion of tissue and cells thickness. These outcomes demonstrated that inundated citrus crop with saline water caused expansion in leaf thickness joined with a few metabolic changes, for example, low Mg+2 content, low chloride over-burdening, chlorophyll harm and stomatal conclusion, which might contribute decrease in photosynthesis. (Navarro, et al. [33]) saw likewise physical changes under saline conditions in Arbutus unedo leaves by optical microscopy in cross segments. A correlation between saline-inundated and control plants uncovered that no critical distinction in the cell size of the upper palisade layer. While, huge increments showed up in the cell size of the lower palisade layer which in corresponding with the degrees of NaCl saltiness (0 mM, 52 mM and 105 mM NaCl). These creators additionally noticed an extraordinary decrease in the intercellular air spaces in the light mesophyll tissue in focused on leaves contrasted with untreated leaves, and this lessen the conductance of CO2. (Fernández-García, et al. [29]) concentrated on the leaf mass/leaf region proportion in henna plants treated with high saltiness water system level. Focused on henna plants respond to high and low saltiness by further develops leaf mass to leaf region. They additionally saw that high saltiness level caused expansion in leaf thickness in salt-focused on henna plants which might augment the photosynthesis potential. Moreover, (Kelij [34]) revealed decline in the quantities of vascular groups under salt pressure conditions in stem of Aeluropus littoralis. Moreover, (Naz, et al. [35]) showed decrease in the metaxylem area of five ecotypes of Aeluropus lagopoides by expansion in salt focus at various ecotypes. (Behrouz, et al. [36]) recorded likewise in certain halophytes, sharp decrease in xylem vessel distance across after saltiness medicines which diminished up to 800 mM, additionally they noted decrease in phloem measurement under NaCl stress. They added that the most physical and morphological characters like stem distance across, stem length, metaxylem breadth, vascular groups, and phloem width were decreased under salt pressure conditions. comparable changes in morphological characters under salt pressure conditions were acquired by (Akıncı and Lösel [37]) who referenced that the pressure conditions caused extraordinary decline in stem tallness, leaf region record, leaf number, new and dry loads of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) plants and some Cucurbitaceae species. They added that the pressure conditions effect on morphological characters as well as changes bio-mass proportion. Comparable outcomes saw on (Salvia officinalis [9,38-41]).

Drought

Dry season impacts incorporate yield, development, shade content, layer trustworthiness, osmotic change water relations and photosynthetic action (Benjamin and Nielsen [42]). Dry season pressure is impacted by edaphic, climatic and agronomic elements. The weakness of plants to dry season pressure shifts as per plant species, stress degree, different going with pressure factors and their formative stages (Demirevska, et al. [43]). Acclimation of plants to water shortfall is the consequence of various occasions, that lead to versatile changes in physio-biochemical cycles and plant development, for example, changes in plant development, tissue osmotic potential, plant structure rate and cancer prevention agent guards (Duan, et al. [44]). It has become basic to explain the transformation and reactions of harvests to water pressure, and make moves to further develop the dry season obstruction capacity of various harvests and to guarantee higher harvest development and yields against negative natural burdens.

Morphological Effects of Drought Stress on Crop Plants

Water pressure is a significant ecological restricting component of plant foundation and development. Truth be told, seeds germination is the principal phase of plant development that is extremely touchy to water shortfall. Accordingly, germination of seeds, length and energy are significant for the foundation of various yield plants. Apparent side effects of plant presented to water pressure in the vegetative stage are a lessening in plant stature, decline in region and number of leaves, leaf withering and deferral in line of buds and blossoms (Bhatt, Srinivasa, [45,46]). Truth be told, restriction in plant leaf development and characters is among the earliest apparent side effects of water deficiency since plant leaves are the really photosynthetic organs (Fatma M Seleem [47]). Water shortfall generally diminished leaf water potential and development and thusly, the decrease in leaf regions and leaf senescence could be seen under serious dry season pressure conditions (Luo et al. [48]). As per (Lonbani [49,50]) leaf expansion diminished under dry season climate to get a harmony between water consumed by plant roots and the water status of plant tissues (Passioura [51]). Furthermore, (Blum [52]) observed that a decrease in leaf region is advantageous under dry spell pressure to keep away from hydration process. Additionally, dry spell pressure is detected by plant roots influences root framework design (root length, length of horizontal roots, its number and spread) and roots development (Salazar, et al. [53]). Pulls are fundamental for plant capacities and efficiency, the significant root capacities are water and supplement take-up, shaping symbioses connection with different microorganisms in their rhizosphere. Subsequently, root framework

is essential to animate and uphold plant development during the early vegetative plant development stage and concentrate water from the dirt (Smith and De Smet [54]). Water pressure diminished plant new and dry biomass creation (Kiliç and Yağbasanlar [55]). Yield is not really decreased when dry season pressure happens during the blossoming stages or during heading stages. Water pressure can decrease 17 to 70% of harvest grain yield (Sourour, et al. [56]). During development, the dry season pressure came about in around 10% decrease in crop yield yet moderate pressure during the early vegetative development stage has fundamentally no reasonable impact on yield (Bauder [57]).

Biotechnology and Water Stress

Significant endeavors of plant reproducers and physiologists during the most recent 30 years, have focused on further developing the dry spell resilience of various plant and rural harvests. Obviously, with the expanding scene necessity for food, there is a pressing requirement for examination to further develop the pressure resilience of various yield plants and to foster better administration strategies to keep food creation at levels close to request disregarding restricted accessibility of water and land. As indicated by (Borlaug and Dowswell [58]) yield creation should be multiplied accomplished by growing area region for development or expanding crop usefulness from per hectare. Different methodologies have been up to this point been tried to further develop pressure lenient plants utilizing old style hereditary methods as well as further developed plant rearing strategies. One way to deal with increment plant opposition and harvest resilience in water-restricted conditions is to choose genotypes that have further developed plant yield in water pressure conditions. The methodologies are demonstrated to some extent effective, however it is hard to achieve because of the polygenic nature and the changeability of precipitation of dry spell resilience. The methodology of quality exchange to various yield plants from their more open minded wild family members utilizing traditional hereditary procedures has likewise been of restricted achievement. A halfway rundown of possibly significant attributes for plant reproducing may incorporate water-use productivity, water-extraction proficiency, osmotic and versatile changes, pressure driven conductance, and tweak of leaf region. Plant change for upgraded resilience is humbly founded on the control of qualities that keep up with and safeguard the construction and capacity of various cell parts. Present designing systems depend on the exchange of one or a few qualities related with pressure responsive pathways. Albeit the current endeavors to further develop plant pressure resistance by quality change have brought about significant accomplishment [59].

Conclusion

From the previously mentioned show, Abiotic stress is a principle danger for sanitation because of the crumbling of climate brought about by human movement and consistent changes of environment. To deal with fruitful abiotic stress issue various harvests start some physiological, sub-atomic, and cell alterations to adjust and react to stresses. Great comprehension of the harvest responsiveness to stretch conditions will help in both present day and customary rearing methods towards further developing pressure resilience.

Acknowledgment

Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declare no conflicts of interest

Author Contribution

Soha Khalil has studied, written, reviewed and corrected this article.

References

1. Guo J, Ling H, Wu Q, Xu L, Que Y (2014) The choice of reference genes for assessing gene expression in sugarcane under salinity and drought stresses. Scientific Reports.
2. (2003) FAO Unlocking the water potential of agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organization, United Nation.
3. Laraus J (2004) The problems of sustainable water use in the mediterranean and research requirements for agriculture. Ann Appl Biol 144(3): 259-272.
4. Sheffield J, Wood EF, Roderick ML (2012) Little change in global drought over the past 60 years. Nature 491: 435-438.
5. Munns R (2002) Comparative physiology of salt and water stress. Plant Cell Environ 25(2): 239-250.
6. Jabeen N, Ahmad R (2012) Improvement in growth and leaf water relation parameters of sunflower and safflower plants with foliar application of nutrient solutions under salt stress. Pakstnin Journal Bot 44(4): 1341-1345.
7. Chaves M M, Maroco J P, Pereira J S (2003) Understanding plant response to drought: from genes to the whole plant. Functional Plant Biology 30(3): 239-264.
8. Nagarajan S, Nagarajan S (2010) Abiotic stress adaptation in plants. Physiological, molecular and genomic foundation (Eds,)., Pareek, A., Sopory, S. K., Bohnert, H. I, Govindjee Springer The Netherlands p. 1-11.
9. Soha E Khalil (2016) Alleviating salt stress in Thymus capitatus plant using plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPR). International Journal of ChemTech Research 9(9): 140-155.
10. (2008) FAO Global network on integrated soil management for sustainable use of salt-affected soils. Soils.
11. Shannon MC, Grieve C M, Francois L E (1994) Whole-plant response to salinity. Plant-environmental interactions. New York, Marcel-Decker, pp. 199-244.
12. Bouwer H (2002) Integrated water management for the 21st century: problems and solutions. J Irrig Drain Eng 28: 193-202.
13. Omami N E (2005) Response of amaranth to salinity stress. Thesis (Ph. D.) University of Pretoria etd. Pretoria. South Africa p. 235.
14. Blumwald, E, Grover A, Good A G (2004) Breeding for abiotic stress resis-

tance: challenges and opportunities New directions for a diverse planet, 4th International Crop Science Congress, Brisbane, Australia.

15. Hasegawa P, Bressan R, Zhu J, Bohnert H (2000) Plant cellular and molecular responses to high salinity. Annual Review of Plant Biology 51: 463-499.
16. Silvera J A G, Melo A R B, Viegas R A, Oliveira J T (2001) Salinity-induced effects on nitrogen assimilation related to growth in cowpea plants. Environ Exp Bot 46(2): 171-179.
17. Taha B Ali, Soha E Khalil, Ashraf M Khalil (2011) Magnetic Treatments of *Capsicum Annuum* L. Grown Under Saline Irrigation Conditions. Journal of Applied Sciences Research 7(11): 1558-1568.
18. Vicente O, Boscaiu M, Naranjo M A, Estrelles E, Belles J M, et al. (2004) Responses to salt stress in the halophyte *Plantago crassifolia* (Plantaginaceae). J Arid Environ 58(4): 463-481.
19. Wahome P, Jesch H, Grittner I (2001) Mechanisms of salt stress tolerance in two rose rootstocks: Rosa chinensis Major and *R. rubiginosa*. Scientia Horticulturae 87(3): 207-216.
20. De Pascale, S Ruggiero, C Barbieri, G Maggio A (2003) Physiological responses of pepper to salinity and drought. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science 128(1): 48-54.
21. Soha E Khalil, Bedour H, Abou Leila (2016) Effect of Magnetic treatment in improving Growth, Yield and fruit quality of Physalis pubescens plant grown under saline irrigation conditions. International Journal of ChemTech Research 9(12): 246-258.
22. Khodadad M (2011) An evaluation of safflower genotypes (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) seed germination and seedling characters in salt stressconditions. Afr J Agric Res 6(7): 1667-1672.
23. Chinnusamy V, Xiong L, Zhu J (2005) Use of genetic engineering andmolecular biology approaches for crop improvement for stress environments. Abiotic stresses: plant resistance through breeding and molecular approaches. Food Product Press, New York.
24. Jose R A, Ortuño MF, Bernal Vicente A, Diaz Vivancos P, Sanchez Blanco MJ, et al. (2017) A Plant Responses to Salt Stress: Adaptive Mechanisms. Agronomy 7(18): 1-38.
25. Álvarez S, Sánchez Blanco M J (2014) Long-term effect of salinity on plant quality, water relations, photosynthetic parameters and ion distribution in Callistemon citrinus. Plant Biol 16(4): 757-764.
26. Farooq M, Hussain M, Wakeel A, Siddique KHM (2015) Salt stress in maize: effects, resistance mechanisms and management. A review. Agron Sustain Dev 35: 461-481.
27. Wu Q, Xia R, Zou Y (2005) Reactive oxygen metabolism in mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal citrus (*Poncirus trifoliate*) seedlings subjected to water stress. Journal of Plant Physiology 51: 437-447.
28. Ruiz Sánchez, M C Domingo, R Torrecillas, A Pérez Pastor (2000) A Water stress preconditioning to improve drought resistance in young apricot plants. Plant Sci 156(2): 245-251.
29. Fernández García, N Olmos E, Bardisi E, García De la Garma, J López-Berenguer, et al. (2014) Intrinsic water use efficiency controls the adaptation to high salinity in a semi-arid adapted plant, henna (*Lawsonia inermis* L.). J Plant Physiol 171(5): 64-75.
30. Acosta Motos J R, Díaz Vivancos P, Álvarez S, Fernández García N, Sánchez-Blanco, et al. (2015) J A Physiological and biochemical mechanisms of the ornamental Eugenia myrtifolia L. plantsfor coping with NaCl stress and recovery. Planta 242(4): 829-846.
31. Longstreth D J, Nobel P S (1979) Salinity effects of leaf anatomy. Plant Physiol 63(4): 700-703.
32. Romero Aranda R, Moya J L, Tadeo F R, Legaz F, Primo Millo E (1998) Physiological and anatomicaldisturbances induced by chloride salts in sensitive and tolerant citrus: Beneficial and detrimental effects of cations. Plant Cell Environ 21(12): 1243-1253.
33. Navarro A, Bañón S, Olmos E, Sánchez Blanco (2007) Effects of sodium chloride on water potential components, hydraulic conductivity, gas exchange and leaf ultrastructure of Arbutus unedo plants. Plant Sci 172(3): 473-480.
34. Kelij S (2013) Anatomic and metabolic changes of lignindeposition during various developmental stages inhalophyte (Aeluropus littoralis Parl.). PhD Thesis, Kharazmi University, Tehran, Iran.
35. Naz N, Hameed M, Nawaz T, Batool R, Ashraf, M, et al. (2013) Structural adaptations in thedesert halophyte Aeluropus lagopoides (L.) Trin. ex Thw. under high salinity. J Biol Res Thessalon 19: 150-164.
36. Behrouz B, Ali M, Ali D, Pooyan M (2017) Morphological and anatomical changes in stems of *Aeluropus littoralis* under salt stress. J Plant Mol Breed 5(1): 40-48.
37. Akinci S, Lösel D M (2010) The effects of water stress and recovery periods on soluble sugars and starch content in cucumber cultivars. *Fresen*. Environ. Bull 19(2): 164-171.
38. Ben Taarit M, Msaada K, Hosni K, Hammami M, Kchouk E, et al. (2009) Plant growth, essential oil yield and composition of sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) fruits cultivated under salt stress conditions. Ind Crops Prod 30(3): 333-337.
39. Ezz El Din AA, Aziz EE, Hendawy SF, Omer EA (2009) Response of *Thymus vulgaris* L. to salt stress and alar (B9) in newly reclaimed soil. J Appl Sci Res 5(12): 2165-2170.
40. Hussein A F, Sayed A V, Jahanfar D, Amir H S, Mohammad A K (2009) Medicinal and aromatic plants farming under drought conditions. Journal of Horticulture and Forestry 1(6): 086-092.
41. Said Al, Ahl HAH, Mahmoud AA (2010) Effect of zinc and/or iron foliar application on growth and essential oil of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) under salt stress. Ozean J Appl Sci 3(1): 97-111.
42. Benjamin JG, Nielsen DC (2006) Water deficit effects on root distribution of soybean, field pea and chickpea. Field Crops Res 97(2-3): 248-253.
43. Demirevska K, Zasheva D, Dimitrov R, Simova Stoilova L, Stamenova M, et al. (2009) Drought stress effects on Rubisco in wheat: changes in the Rubisco large subunit. Acta Physiol Plant 31(6): 1129-1138.
44. Duan B, Yang Y, Lu Y, Korpelainen H, Berninger F, et al. (2007) Interactions between drought stress, ABA and genotypes in Picea asperata. J Exp Bot 58: 3025-3036.
45. Bhatt R M, Srinivasa NKR (2005) Influence of pod load response of okra to water stress. Indian J. Plant Physiol 10: 54-59.
46. Soha E Khalil, Fatma M Seleem (2019) Role of biochar soil amendment for alleviation of adverse effects of water stress on *Dimorphotheca ecklonis* plants. Bioscience Research 16(2): 1337-1353.
47. Fatma M Seleem, Soha E Khalil (2018) Response of marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.) Plant to irrigation water regime and exogenous application of silicon. Bioscience Research 15(4): 4322-4334.
48. Luo HH, Zhang YL, Zhang WF (2016) Effect of water stress and rewatering on photosynthesis, root activity, and yield of cotton with drip irrigation under mulch. Photosynthetica 54(1): 65-73.
49. Lonbani M, Arzani A (2011) Morpho-physiological traits associated with terminal drought stress tolerance in triticale and Wheat. Agric Res 9: 315-329.

50. Soha Khalil, Ashraf M Khalil (2015) Effect of water irrigation intervals, Compost and Dry Yeast on Growth, Yield and Oil Content of *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. plant. American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 9(5): 36-51.
51. Passioura JB Drought and drought tolerance. Plant Growth Regul 199: 79-83.
52. Blum A (2005) Mitigation of drought stress by crop management.
53. Salazar C, Hernández C, Teresa P M (2015) A review. Plant water stress: Associations between ethylene and abscisic acid response. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research 75 (Suppl 1).
54. Smith S, De Smet I (2012) Root system architecture: insights from Arabidopsis and cereal crops. Philos. Trans R Soc Lond B 367(1595): 1441-14452.
55. Kiliç, H, Yağbasanlar T (2010) The effect of drought stress on grain yield, yield components and some quality traits of durum wheat *(Triticum turgidum* ssp. durum) cultivars. Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobot. Cluj 38 (1): 164-170.
56. Sourour A, Othmani AO, Rezgui MR, Mongi BY (2017) A review: Morphological, physiological, biochemical and molecular plant responses to water deficit stress. The International Journal of Engineering and Science (IJES) 6(1): 01-04.
57. Bauder J (2001) Irrigation with limited water supplies. Montana State University, Communications Services. Montana Hall. Bozeman, MT 59717. USA.
58. Borlaug, N E, C R Dowswell (2005) Feeding a world of ten billion people: a 21st century challenge. In R Tuberosa, RL Phillips, M Gale, eds, Proceedings of the international congress in the wake of the double helix: From the green revolution to the gene revolution, 27-31 May 2003, Bologna, Italy 8(1): 3-23.
59. Cherian S, M Reddy, R Ferreira (2006) Transgenic plants with improved dehydration stress tolerance: Progress and future prospects. Biologia Plantarum 50: 481-495.

ISSN: 2574-1241

DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2023.52.008230

Soha E Khalil. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res

Submission Link: https://biomedres.us/submit-manuscript.php