ISSN: 2574 -1241



DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2024.55.008706

Identifying Bioactive Components of Astragalus Radix and Their Vital Protein Targets for Treatment of Allergic Rhinitis Through Network Pharmacology and Molecular Docking

Akshay Suresh Patil and Yan Xu*

Department of Chemistry, Cleveland State University, USA

*Corresponding author: Yan Xu, Department of Chemistry, Cleveland State University, Cleveland, USA

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Received: iiii February 15, 2024 **Published:** iiii March 11, 2024

Citation: Akshay Suresh Patil and Yan Xu. Identifying Bioactive Components of *Astragalus Radix* and Their Vital Protein Targets for Treatment of Allergic Rhinitis Through Network Pharmacology and Molecular Docking. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res 55(3)-2024. BJSTR. MS.ID.008706.

Allergic rhinitis, an immune disorder affecting over 80 million people in the United States annually, is characterized by the immune system's excessive reaction to external allergens. Common synthetic treatments for this condition are often associated with side effects, including dizziness, weakness, and an increased heart rate. This has led to a growing interest in herbal remedies, which typically exhibit fewer adverse effects. Among these, Astragalus radix (AR), a staple in traditional Chinese medicine known for its immunomodulating and anti-inflammatory properties and used in treating allergies for centuries, stands out. Our study delves into the components of AR, targeting the proteins involved in allergic rhinitis. We utilized various databases to collect data on AR components, their protein targets, and allergic rhinitis disease genes. A protein-protein interaction network was constructed, facilitating the enrichment of biological functions (processes and pathways). The proteins associated with the enriched functions relevant to allergic rhinitis (p < 0.05) were used to construct a target-target-interaction (TTI) network. We employed modularity analysis and an integrated centrality scoring approach on this network, identifying four proteins (ALOX5, IL2, TNF α , and TLR4) as pivotal targets for allergic rhinitis. Further, we evaluated the interaction between these pivotal proteins and AR's components through molecular docking simulations. Our findings highlight four bioactive components of AR - kaempferol, daidzein, calycosin 7-0-glucoside, and astragaloside III - each exhibiting the lowest binding energies, suggesting their potential as antagonists to regulate these pivotal proteins in allergic rhinitis management.

Keywords: Allergic Rhinitis; *Astragalus Radix*; ALOX5; IL2; TNFα; TLR4; Kaempferol; Daidzein; Calycosin 7-O-Glucoside; Astragaloside III

Abbreviations: ALOX5: Lipoxygenase-5; AR: *Astragalus Radix*; BC: Betweenness Centrality; CC: Closeness Centrality; DC: Degree Centrality; DCdb: Drug Central Database; DGIdb: Drug-Gene Interaction Database; DL : Drug Likeness; EC: Eigenvector centrality; ETCM : The Encyclopedia of Traditional Chinese Medicine; GDA : Gene Disease Association; GO: Gene Ontology; IC: Integrated Centrality; IL2 : Interleukin 2; KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; Max: Maximum; Min: Minimum; OB: Oral bioavailability; PPI: Protein-Protein Interaction; SP: Super Pred; STP: Swiss Target Prediction; TCM: Traditional Chinese Medicine; TCMID : Traditional Chinese Medicine Jiftmation Database; TCMSP: Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology; TLR4: Toll-Like Receptor 4; TNFα: Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha; TTD: Therapeutic Target database; TTI: Target-Target interaction

Introduction

Allergic rhinitis, commonly referred to as seasonal allergies or hay fever, is a widespread immune system disorder. This condition, characterized by an overactive immune response to external particles, affects approximately 80 million individuals annually in the United States alone [1,2]. Allergic rhinitis specifically denotes nasal inflammation triggered by allergens like pollen, hay, mold spores, or dust. While bacteria are not a primary cause, they can contribute to allergic rhinitis through infections in congested nasal passages. The immune response involves dendritic cells capturing and processing allergens, activating T cells, which then prompts B cells to produce IgE antibodies attaching to the FC^ε receptor. The subsequent binding of allergens to IgE causes the crosslinking of IgE-FCE complexes, releasing histamines, leukotrienes, and other inflammatory mediators through degranulation [3,4]. The uncontrolled release of inflammatory cytokines, interleukins, interferons, and chemokines causes allergic reactions, leading to sneezing, runny nose, itching, watery eyes, and swelling [5]. Pathways like Toll-like receptor signaling, FCE-RI signaling, histamine metabolism and degradation, and arachidonic acid metabolism play crucial roles in allergic rhinitis development [6-9]. The current treatment options for allergic rhinitis include anti-allergic medications such as antihistamines (levocetirizine), intranasal corticosteroids (fluticasone), decongestants (pseudoephedrine), bronchodilators (ipratropium), anti-inflammatory agents or mast-cells stabilizers (cromolyn), which are used to prevent or reduce allergic reactions [3,10].

In cases where the reaction worsens, artificial epinephrine shots are administered. These medications work by dilating the constricted nasal passages, actively inhibiting the production of inflammatory signaling molecules, or blocking the receptors to initiate the inflammatory cascade. However, most of these medications are synthetic and often lead to side effects, such as drowsiness, dizziness, headache, rapid heart rate, muscle weakness, etc. Long-term use of these medications may also negatively impact the immune system [11]. Consequently, plant-based supplements are gaining popularity as a safer alternative. Astragalus radix (AR), or Huang Qi, is derived from the dried roots of the Astragalus membranaceus tree and is extensively used in traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) due to its potent immunomodulatory effects, either alone or in combination with other herbs [12]. AR is a key ingredient of many TCM formulas, such as Yu Ping Feng decoction [13], Huangqi Sijunzi decoction [14], Huang Qi Jian Zhong Tang [15], etc., and is known for enhancing bodily resistance to allergens and boosting vitality. It is widely recognized as a valuable herbal medicine that increases energy levels and effectively balances the immune system [16]. The immunomodulatory and antiinflammatory properties of AR were reported to regulate nitric oxide, cytokines, and interleukins [17,18]. Other studies also showed that AR promotes wound healing and has hepatoprotection, antioxidant, anti- hyperglycemic, and anti-viral properties [12,19]. The use of AR for weakness, anemia, fever, fatigue, and loss of appetite was also reported [19].

Despite its wide application, the specific components of AR responsible for its therapeutic effects remain under-researched. According to the TCM database, AR contains nearly 90 components, primarily flavonoids, saponins, and polysaccharides. Some of these components exhibit pharmacological activity and therapeutic efficacy by interacting with various disease genes in biological processes and pathways 12]. Network pharmacology offers a comprehensive approach to uncovering these components by analyzing bioinformatics and cheminformatics data [20,21]. This method aids in identifying bioactive compounds in AR that could be potential therapeutic agents against allergic rhinitis. Additionally, molecular docking simulation, an in-silico validation method, helps understand the interactions (i.e., binding packets and energies) between these components and their protein targets [22,23]. The present study aims to identify the key bioactive components of AR and their pivotal protein targets for the treatment of allergic rhinitis and gain an understanding of AR's molecular action mechanisms.

Materials and Methods

AR Component Acquisition

The components examined for AR were gathered and integrated from several databases, including Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology (TCMSP: https://old.tcmsp-e.com/index.php) [24], Traditional Chinese Medicine Information database (TCM-ID: http://bidd.group/TCMID/index.html) [25], and The Encyclopedia of Traditional Chinese Medicine (ETCM: http://www.tcmip.cn/ ETCM/) [26]. These databases provide comprehensive information on the physicochemical properties and drug-likeness of the herbss components, such as molecular weight, A (log p), oral bioavailability (OB), drug-likeness (DL), H-donor, H-acceptor, and blood-brain barrier values. The selection criteria for screening the AR candidate components in this study were based on OB and DL, as the primary route of administration for AR is via oral ingestion.

Protein Target Acquisition

Protein targets for both AR components and allergic rhinitis were obtained through web servers. Specifically, protein targets for screened AR components were acquired using Similarity Ensemble Approach (SEA: https://sea.bkslab.org/) [27,28], Swiss Target Prediction (STP: http://www.swisstargetprediction.ch/) [29], Super Pred (SP: https://prediction.charite.de/) [30], Herb Ingredients Targets platform (HIT 2.0: http://hit2.badd-cao.net/) [31], Therapeutic Targets Database (TTD: https://db.idrblab.net/ ttd/) [32], Drug Central Database (DCdb: https://drugcentral.org/) [33], Drug-Gene Interaction Database (DGIdb: https://www.dgidb. org/) [34], and BindingDB (https://www.bindingdb.org/) [35] databases. These databases were queried using component names or their canonical smiles obtained from PubChem (https://pubchem. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) [36]. The screening criteria used for each database were as follows: SEA - Tanimoto coefficient (TC) > 0.5, STP - top 15 protein targets, SP - all known protein targets and > 90% of predicted

protein targets, HIT 2.0 - direct & indirect up/down-regulated, TTD - all evidence-based, DCdb - Activity value (-log[M]) > 4.0, DGIdb - interaction score > 0.5, and BindingDB - similarity > 0.85 protein targets. For acquiring allergic rhinitis-related protein targets, two web servers were used: GeneCards (https://www.genecards.org/) (Stelzer G, et al. [37]) and DisGeNET (https://www.disgenet.org/) [38].

The screening criteria of protein targets for GeneCards were protein-coding genes and a relevance score > 4.0, while for DisGeNET, it was GDA (gene-disease association) score > 0.1. The search keywords used for the allergic rhinitis-related protein target's acquisition were «seasonal allergy,» «hay fever,» and «allergic rhinitis.» All protein targets were cross-verified using UniProt ID to confirm the correctness of Gene ID, and only human-related protein targets were used for this study. A shared target strategy was employed to establish a relationship between protein targets of AR components and allergic rhinitis. Shared targets were identified using Venny 2.1, available at https://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/ [39].

Protein-Protein-Interaction (PPI) Network

The shared protein targets were analyzed using the STRING plugin in Cytoscape [40], a bioinformatics software tool widely used for visualizing network interactions. This facilitated exploring protein target's interaction via the PPI network using a high confidence score of > 0.7. The interconnected protein targets in the PPI network were considered putative protein targets and subjected to further analysis, while the non-connected protein targets were eliminated.

Acquisition, Enrichment, and Identification of Relevant Functions

In this study, GO (Gene Ontology) [41] immune system biological processes and KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathways were annotated as *(*Functions.) The modulation of various functions influences the allergic rhinitis condition. The functions related to the screened putative protein targets were extracted using the ClueGO plugin in Cytoscape [42]. The functions were enriched based on a p-value threshold of < 0.05, and only the relevant functions for allergic rhinitis were screened.

Target-Target-Interaction (TTI) Network and Module Generation

A TTI network was constructed to analyze the interconnections among protein targets through various functions. A correlation file for protein targets and their associated functions was generated using Edges 2.0 [43,44]. The file was subsequently uploaded to Gephi [45] to construct an undirected network and identify modules using the Louvain algorithm (resolution 1.0). These modules represent clusters of protein targets linked closely through stronger interrelations (various functions). Calculation of integrated centrality (IC) score and identification of important protein targets. The importance of a protein target was calculated using the integrated centrality equation (X Li, et al. [46]) (Equation 1)

$$IC_{i} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{DC_{i} - DC_{\min}}{DC_{\max} - DC_{\min}} + \frac{BC_{i} - BC_{\min}}{BC_{\max} - BC_{\min}} + \frac{EC_{i} - EC_{\min}}{EC_{\max} - EC_{\min}} + \frac{CC_{i} - CC_{\min}}{CC_{\max} - CC_{\min}} \right)$$
(1)

where IC is the integrated centrality score for the protein target (i), and DC, BC, EC, and CC are the degree, betweenness, eigenvector, and closeness centralities, respectively. The max and min represent each centrality>s maximum and minimum values within the module. The IC score is a numerical value obtained using the IC equation ranging from 0.00 to 1.00, where 0.00 is the least important and 1.00 is the most important protein target in the module based on the topological perspective.

Molecular Docking Simulations

In-silico validation was performed using molecular docking simulations to elucidate the candidate compound's binding affinity (kcal/mol) towards the protein target. The protein targets with the highest IC score within each module were selected for docking simulation with their respective AR candidate components. The three-dimensional structures of the protein targets and AR candidate components were prepared for docking analysis using PyMOL (https://pymol.org/2/) (Schrödinger LLC, 2015), Open Babel GUI (https://openbabel.org/) [47], and AutoDock 4.2 (https://autodock. scripps.edu/download-autodock4/) [48]. Once the protein targets and AR components were prepared, they were subjected to docking analysis using AutoDock Vina [49]. The protein targets were set as receptors, while the components were ligands. Additionally, docking simulations were performed using reported inhibitory compounds/ antagonists of these protein targets to compare and evaluate the efficacy of the AR components based on the binding affinities.

Results

The Workflow

All the components of AR were initially acquired, assessed, and screened for their OB and DL properties. Subsequently, protein targets for the screened AR components and allergic rhinitis were obtained and evaluated. The shared protein targets in AR components and allergic rhinitis were used to construct a PPI network. The PPI network was pruned only to identify the connected protein targets to obtain functions (biological processes and pathways). Functions were enriched based on significance levels (p-value < 0.05) and screened for relevance to immune system diseases, specifically allergic rhinitis. The relevant functions and protein targets were used to construct a TTI network. The TTI network was analyzed to identify pivotal protein targets based on modularity analysis and integrated centrality score using the Louvain algorithm and integrated centrality equation, respectively. The pivotal protein targets and

their corresponding AR candidate components were selected using molecular docking simulations to determine the binding affinities. The AR candidate components having the lowest binding affinities towards their respective pivotal protein targets were identified as key bioactive AR components.

Data Acquisition and Screening

Eighty-seven components of AR were acquired through a database search using three web databases (TCMSP, TCM-ID, and ETCM). These components were screened using a threshold of OB > 10% and DL > 0.10, resulting in 40 AR candidate components (Table

1). Using the names and canonical smiles obtained from PubChem for these candidate components, 558 non-repeating protein targets were retrieved from the databases, as mentioned previously. Additionally, a search for allergic rhinitis-related protein targets using different synonyms identified 228 non-repeating protein targets from GeneCards and DisGeNET post- screening. Using a Venn diagram, a shared protein target strategy was implemented to identify protein targets common to both AR candidate components and allergic rhinitis, resulting in 44 protein targets. Table 2 presents these shared protein targets along with their UniProt IDs.

Table 1: Forty AR candidate components obtained by screening based on OB and DL.

No.	MOL ID	PubChem ID	Herbal Components	Oral bioavailability (%)	Drug likeness
1	MOL000433	135398658	(2S)-2-[[4-[(2-Amino-4-oxo-1H-pteridin-6- yl)methylamino]benzo- yl]amino]pentanedioic acid	68.96	0.71
2	MOL000033	15976101	(3S,8S,9S,10R,13R,14S,17R)-10,13-dimethyl-17-[(2R,5S)-5-propan- 2-yloctan-2-yl]- 2,3,4,7,8,9,11,12,14,15,16,17-dodecahydro-1H-cy- clopenta[a]phenanthren-3-ol	36.23	0.78
3	MOL000380	5319733	(6aR,11aR)-9,10-dimethoxy-6a,11a-dihydro-6H-[1]benzofu- ro[3,2-c]chromen-3-ol	64.26	0.42
4	MOL000436	6603886	(Z)-1-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-3-(4- hydroxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1- one	87.51	0.15
5	MOL000442	5316760	1,7-Dihydroxy-3,9-dimethoxy pterocarpene	39.05	0.48
6	MOL000381	5282948	13-hydroxy-9,11-octadecadienoic acid	35.6	0.17
7	MOL000371	15689655	3,9-di-O-methylnissolin	53.74	0.48
8	MOL000376	125142	7,2'-dihydroxy-3',4'-dimethoxyisoflavone-7-O-β-D- glucoside	16.16	0.86
9	MOL000378	15689652	7-O-methylisomucronulatol	74.69	0.3
10	MOL000295	5742590	Alexandrin	20.63	0.63
11	MOL000413	131484	Astrachrysoside A	24.55	0.1
12	MOL000401	13996685	Astragaloside I	46.79	0.11
13	MOL000403	13996693	Astragaloside II	46.06	0.13
14	MOL000405	441905	Astragaloside III	31.83	0.1
15	MOL000407	13943297	Astragaloside IV	22.5	0.15
16	MOL000409	13943299	Astragaloside A	17.74	0.15
17	MOL000411	131420	Astraisoflavanin	18.37	0.86
18	MOL000387	108213	Bifendate	31.1	0.67
19	MOL000417	5280448	Calycosin	47.75	0.24
20	MOL000418	5318267	Calycosin 7-O-glucoside	10.05	0.81
21	MOL000390	5281708	Daidzein	19.44	0.19
22	MOL000399	5460660	Docosanoate	15.69	0.26
23	MOL000400	493570	Flavaxin	18.18	0.5
24	MOL000392	5280378	Formononetin	69.67	0.21
25	MOL000296	73299	Hederagenin	36.91	0.75
26	MOL001955	1794427	Heriguard	11.93	0.33
27	MOL000398	160767	Isoflavanone	109.99	0.3
28	MOL000439	15689653	Isomucronulatol-7,2'-di-O-glucosiole	49.28	0.62

29	MOL000354	5281654	Isorhamnetin	49.6	0.31
30	MOL000239	5318869	Jaranol	50.83	0.29
31	MOL000422	5280863	Kaempferol	41.88	0.24
32	MOL000131	5280450	Linoleic acid	41.9	0.14
33	MOL000432	5280934	Linolenic acid	45.01	0.15
34	MOL000441	92158	Lupenone	11.66	0.78
35	MOL000356	259846	Lupeol	12.12	0.78
36	MOL000211	64971	Mairin	55.38	0.78
37	MOL000391	442813	Ononin	11.52	0.78
38	MOL000069	985	Palmitic acid	19.3	0.1
39	MOL000098	5280343	Quercetin	46.43	0.28
40	MOL000251	5320946	Rhamnocitrin	12.9	0.27

 Table 2: Shared protein targets between AR candidate components and allergic rhinitis.

No.	Gene name	Gene description	UniProt ID
1	ABCA1	ATP binding cassette subfamily A member 1	O95477
2	ACE	Angiotensin I Converting Enzyme	P12821
3	ALOX5	Arachidonate 5-Lipoxygenase	P09917
4	BDNF	Brain Derived Neurotrophic Factor	P23560
5	CCL2	C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 2	P13500
6	CCR4	C-C Motif Chemokine Receptor 4	P51679
7	CMA1	Chymase 1	P23946
8	CXCL8	C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 8	P10145
9	FCER2	Fc Epsilon Receptor II	P06734
10	FOXP3	Forkhead Box P3	Q9BZS1
11	HMOX1	Heme Oxygenase 1	P09601
12	ICAM1	Intercellular Adhesion Molecule 1	P05362
13	IFNG	Interferon Gamma	P01579
14	IL10	Interleukin 10	P22301
15	IL17A	Interleukin 17A	Q16552
16	IL1A	Interleukin 1 Alpha	P01583
17	IL1B	Interleukin 1 Beta	P01584
18	IL2	Interleukin 2	P60568
19	IL4	Interleukin 4	P05112
20	IL6	Interleukin 6	P05231
21	ITK	IL2 Inducible T Cell Kinase	Q08881
22	JUN	Jun Proto-Oncogene	P05412
23	KLK7	Kallikrein Related Peptidase 7	P49862
24	MIF	Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor	P14174
25	MPO	Myeloperoxidase	P05164
26	MUC5AC	Mucin 5AC, Oligomeric Mucus/Gel-Forming	P98088
27	NFKB1	Nuclear Factor Kappa B Subunit 1	P19838
28	NGF	Nerve Growth Factor	P01138
29	NLRP3	NLR Family Pyrin Domain Containing 3	Q96P20

30	NOS2	Nitric Oxide Synthase 2	P35228
31	PLA2G7	Phospholipase A2 Group VII	Q13093
32	RORA	RAR related orphan receptor A	P35398
33	SELE	Selectin E	P16581
34	SMAD3	SMAD Family Member 3	P84022
35	STAT3	Signal Transducer And Activator Of Transcription 3	P40763
36	SYK	Spleen Associated Tyrosine Kinase	P43405
37	TGFB1	Transforming Growth Factor Beta 1	P01137
38	TLR2	Toll Like Receptor 2	O60603
39	TLR4	Toll Like Receptor 4	O00206
40	TLR9	Toll Like Receptor 9	Q9NR96
41	TNF	Tumor Necrosis Factor	P01375
		Transient Receptor Potential Cation Channel Subfamily V	
42	1RPV1	Member 1	Q8NEK1
43	VCAM1	Vascular Cell Adhesion Molecule 1	P19320
44	VEGFA	Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A	P15692

PPI Network Construction

The 44 shared protein targets> PPI network was constructed using the STRING plugin in Cytoscape, forming a network consisting of 249 edges connecting 42 protein targets with an average clustering coefficient of 0.745. However, 2 of the 44 shared protein targets were removed due to the unavailability of their connections with the rest of the network as the set criteria for minimum interactions of a protein target was greater than or equal to 2. The connected 42 putative protein targets were chosen for functional acquisition and enrichment. Figure 1a displays the PPI network of the 42 shared protein targets, with nodes (protein targets) and their edges (interactions via functions).



b) Percent associated genes per GO biological processes and KEGG pathways.

Enrichment and Identification of Relevant Functions

Functional acquisition of the 42 putative protein targets was performed using Cytoscape, retrieving 140 functions (77 GO biological processes and 63 KEGG pathways). These functions were then enriched based on their significance score (p-value < 0.05) and relevance to allergic rhinitis, resulting in the identification of 42 functions (21 GO biological processes and 21 KEGG pathways). Figure 1b displays the functions and associated genes per term as a percentage. Once the relevant functions were identified, only the protein targets related to the 42 relevant functions were selected for further studies, referred to as candidate protein targets, 32 in this study.

TTI Network Construction and Analysis for Modularity and Important Protein Target Identification

The data set comprising 32 candidate protein targets and 42 functions was used to construct an undirected TTI network. The constructed network consisted of 32 nodes and 319 edges. Four modules were generated using the Louvain algorithm, namely, Module 1 (green color) with 8, Module 2 (purple color) with 9, Module 3 (orange color) with 8, and Module 4 (blue color) with 7 candidate protein targets, as shown in Figure 2. The present study employed IC analysis to identify important protein targets (See Section 2.6). A higher IC score indicates that a protein target has a greater number of connections with other protein targets in the same module via various functions. Candidate protein targets having the maximum IC score (high importance) in each module were selected as pivotal protein targets. ALOX5 (Lipoxygenase-5), IL2 (Interleukin-2), TNFa (Tumor necrosis factor- α), and TLR4 (Toll-like receptor 4) had the highest IC score in modules 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The modules and their corresponding IC scores are listed in Table 3.



Figure 2: Target-target-interaction network of 32 protein targets showing four modules; Module 1 green color), Module 2 (purple color), Module 3 (orange color), and Module 4 (blue color). The size of the nodes represents the importance of the protein targets in that module (higher importance - bigger size).

Module	Gene ID	IC score
	ALOX5	1
	CXCL8	0.61
	IL1A	0.59
1	CCL2	0.58
1	ICAM1	0.34
	CCR4	0.18
	NGF	0.18
	Gene IDALOX5CXCL8IL1ACCL2IL1ACCL2ICAM1CCR4NGFSELEIL2TGFB1IL6IL10FOXP3SYKVCAM1TLR9IL4IL7AIL7AIL17AIL17AIL17AIL18IL19 <t< td=""><td>0</td></t<>	0
	IL2	1
	TGFB1	0.81
	IL6	0.77
2	IL10	0.77
2	FOXP3	0.45
	SYK	0.39
	VCAM1	0.38
	TLR9	0.26
	MIF	0
	TNF	1
	ILA	0.93
	IFNG	0.85
3	IL17A	0.66
	VEGFA	0.3
	MUC5AC	0.19
	ПК	0.11
	IL1B	0
	TLR4	1
	NFKB1	0.99
A	JUN	0.69
±	TLR2	0.48
	STAT3	0
	RORA	0
	SMAD3	0

Table 3: Module class and Integrated centrality (IC) score of protein targets.

Molecular Docking Simulations

Molecular docking simulations were performed on the pivotal protein targets and their related AR candidate components, as shown in Figure 3. The binding affinities obtained for each protein-ligand complex are mentioned in Table 4. Based on the docking results, the AR candidate components showing the best binding affinities towards ALOX5, IL2, TNF α , and TLR4 were kaempferol (-9.3 kcal/mol), daidzein (-7.9 kcal/mol), calycosin 7-0-glucoside (-6.8 kcal/mol), and astragaloside III (-10.8 kcal/mol), respectively and were

selected as the key bioactive AR components. It exhibits that these key bioactive AR components can be used to regulate the pivotal protein targets, as they showed excellent binding scores, with values less than -5.0 kcal/mol 50,51]; Additionally, the binding affinities of these key bioactive AR components were compared with the reported inhibitory compounds/antagonists for each protein target (Figure 4). It was observed that the key bioactive AR components had much lower (better) binding affinities than the reported inhibitory compounds/ antagonists for TNF α , IL2, ALOX5, and TLR4. Furthermore, the

binding pockets of the key bioactive AR components were the same as those reported for inhibitory compounds/antagonists, predicting the antagonist action of the key bioactive AR components.



Figure 3: Molecular docking results for vital protein targets with their respective key bioactive AR components (green color compounds) and reported inhibitory compounds/antagonists (red color compounds).

Protein Target (PDB Id)	Compound	PubChem CID	Binding affinity (kcal/mol)
	Calycosin 7-O-glucoside	5318267	-7.4
	7,2'-dihydroxy-3',4'-dimethoxyisoflavone-7-O-β-D-glucoside	125142	-7.5
	Alexandrin	5742590	-7.8
	Astrachrysoside A	131484	-7.4
	Astragaloside III	441905	-7.3
що	Astragaloside IV	13943297	-7.6
IL2	Calycosin	5280448	-7.0
(6VWU)	Daidzein	5281708	-7.9
	Formononetin	5280378	-7.3
	Ononin	442813	-7.3
	Quercetin	5280343	-7.8
	Cyclosporin ^a	5284373	-5.8
	1,7-Dihydroxy-3,9-dimethoxy pterocarpene	5316760	-9.1
	13-hydroxy-9,11-octadecadienoic acid	5282948	-5.9
	Alexandrin	5742590	-9.2
	Calycosin	5280448	-7.9
	Daidzein	5281708	-8.0
	Linolenic acid	5280934	-5.7
ALOX5 (6NCF)	Flavaxin	493570	-7.0
(******)	Isorhamnetin	5281654	-9.0
	Jaranol	5318869	-8.7
	Kaempferol	5280863	-9.3
	Quercetin	5280343	-9.1
	Rhamnocitrin	5320946	-9.0
	Docebenone ^a	1967	-7.8
	13-hydroxy-9,11-octadecadienoic acid	5282948	-4.8
	Calycosin 7-O-glucoside	5318267	-6.8
	7,2'-dihydroxy-3',4'-dimethoxyisoflavone-7-O-β-D-glucoside	125142	-6.5
TINIT	Calycosin	5280448	-5.9
1NF (6X81)	Daidzein	5281708	-5.9
	Kaempferol	5280863	-6.3
	Palmitic Acid	985	-4.0
	Quercetin	5280343	-6.4
	Curcumin ^a	969516	-5.8
	13-hydroxy-9,11-octadecadienoic acid	5282948	-6.7
	Alexandrin	5742590	-9.8
	Astragaloside I	13996685	-10.1
	Astragaloside II	13996693	-9.5
TLR4	Astragaloside III	441905	-10.8
(3FXI)	Bifendate	108213	-7.1
	Docosanoate	5460660	-6.6
	Lupeol	259846	-10.5
	Mairin	64971	-8.4
	Eritoran ^a	6450173	-8.2

Table 4: Binding affinities of the vital protein targets to the candidate components of A. radix and their reported inhibitory compounds/

 antagonists.



Figure 4: Comparison of binding affinities for vital protein targets with their respective key bioactive AR components and reported inhibitory compounds/antagonists.

Discussion

This study embarked on a quest to delineate the bioactive components of AR and their significant protein targets, offering therapeutic potential against allergic rhinitis. Utilizing network pharmacology, we intricately mapped the interplay between these components and protein targets, employing the scientific findings of chemometrics and bioinformatics. A pivotal aspect of our methodology was constructing the TTI network, which revealed the intricate web of connections between proteins across various biological processes and pathways. A core challenge in network pharmacology is pinpointing the most influential protein targets within a vast network. Our study addresses this through modularity analysis and integrated centrality scoring. We identified modules - the subnetworks of interlinked protein targets - and ranked the protein targets in each module using integrated centrality scores. This dual strategy facilitated the discernment of protein targets, wielding an understanding of the molecular action mechanism of the bioactive components of AR, a task often convoluted in complex biological networks. Molecular docking emerged as a crucial tool, enabling us to scrutinize the interactions between AR components and protein targets. This approach was instrumental in revealing potential inhibitory or activating binding sites and energies, thereby guiding therapeutics' development. Our research spotlighted four pivotal

protein targets: ALOX5, IL2, TNF α , and TLR4. These proteins play a distinctive role in immune response and allergic pathophysiology.

For instance, when activated inappropriately, $TNF\alpha$, is integral to immune system balance and can exacerbate allergic rhinitis via the NFkB pathway [6,52]. Similarly, TLR4's role in initiating inflammatory responses [53,54] and ALOX5's involvement in leukotriene biosynthesis [55,56] underscore their relevance in allergic reactions. This study uniquely considered the collective impact of these targets, a perspective often overlooked in existing literature. Beyond confirming known pathways implicated in allergic rhinitis, our research ventured into previously unexplored territories. We unearthed additional pathways and biological processes influenced by AR, such as the PI3K-AKT and MAPK signaling pathways, thereby broadening our understanding of allergic rhinitis pathogenesis. In-silico validation pinpointed four AR components - calycosin-7-0-glucoside, kaempferol, daidzein, and astragaloside III - as potential therapeutic agents. These compounds, notably flavonoids and triterpenoid saponins, exhibited promising binding scores and specific biological activities against allergic inflammation [57-62]. This raises an intriguing question about their collective versus individual efficacy in modulating allergic responses. The findings of this study underscore the therapeutic promise of AR's key bioactive components in modulating pivotal protein targets and pathways in allergic rhinitis. However, these preliminary insights

beckon further investigation to fully elucidate their clinical potential and the intricacies of AR>s multi-component synergy. Future studies should focus on validating these results and exploring their practical applications in allergy treatment.

Conclusion

This research leveraged the power of network pharmacology to unravel the molecular mechanism of *Astragalus radix* for the treatment of allergic rhinitis. At its core, this study identified a suite of bioactive components in AR and their specific disease protein targets, shedding light on their therapeutic potential. These findings were bolstered by in-silico validation through molecular docking simulations, corroborated by scientific literature. This research marked a noteworthy leap in connecting traditional herbal medicine knowledge with the understanding of disease genes, filling a crucial gap in mechanism-focused studies. Our method simplifies the traditionally demanding process of pinpointing and testing protein targets and active ingredients. This study provides verifiable mechanisms and paves the way for more effective clinical trials targeting AR's active components for allergic rhinitis treatment.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the Department of Chemistry at Cleveland State University.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Availability of Data and Materials

The datasets used during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Authors' Contributions

ASP performed data acquisition, network pharmacology, data analysis, and molecular docking and drafted the manuscript. YX designed and supervised the study and made necessary revisions to finalize the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

References

- Ng A Boersma P (2023) Diagnosed Allergic Conditions in Adults: United States 2021.
- 2. Zablotsky B, Black L, Akinbami L (2023) Diagnosed Allergic Conditions in Children Aged 0–17 Years: United States, 2021.
- Bernstein D I, Schwartz G, Bernstein J A (2016) Allergic Rhinitis: Mechanisms and Treatment. In Immunology and Allergy Clinics of North America 36(2): 261-278.
- 4. Dennis EA, Norris PC (2015) Eicosanoid storm in infection and inflammation. In Nature Reviews Immunology 15(8): 511-523.
- Kay AB (2001) Allergy and Allergic Diseases. New England Journal of Medicine 344(1): 30-37.

- 6. Ahmad S, Azid NA, Boer JC, Lim J, Chen X, et al. (2018) The key role of TNF-TNFR2 interactions in the modulation of allergic inflammation: A review. In Frontiers in Immunology 9: 2572.
- Colgan JD, Hankel I L (2010) Signaling pathways critical for allergic airway inflammation. In Current Opinion in Allergyand Clinical Immunology 10(1): 42-47.
- 8. Kaisho T, Akira S (2006) Toll-like receptor function and signaling. In Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 117(5): 979-987.
- Luo Y, Jin M, Lou L, Yang S, Li C, et al. (2022) Role of arachidonic acid lipoxygenase pathway in Asthma. In Prostaglandins and Other Lipid Mediators 158.
- Lai L, CasaleT B, Stokes J (2005) Pediatric allergic rhinitis: Treatment. In Immunology and Allergy Clinics of North America 25(2): 283-299.
- Bender B, Milgrom H (1995) Neuropsychiatric effects of medications for allergic diseases. The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 95(2): 523-528.
- Fu J, Wang Z, Huang L, Zheng S, Wang D, et al. (2014) Review of the botanical characteristics, phytochemistry, and pharmacology of Astragalus membranaceus (Huangqi). In Phytotherapy Research 28(9): 1275-1283.
- 13. Zuo H, Zhang Q, Su S, Chen Q, Yang F, et al. (2018) A network pharmacology-based approach to analyse potential targets of traditional herbal formulas: An example of Yu Ping Feng decoction. OPEN Scientific Reports 8: 11418.
- 14. Zhang X, Cao D, Liu J, Zhang Q, Liu M (2021) Effectiveness and safety of brain-computer interface technology in the treatment of poststroke motor disorders: A protocol for systematic review and meta- analysis. In BMJ Open 11(1): e042383.
- 15. Nöst X, Pferschy-Wenzig EM, Nikles S, He X, Fan D, Lu A, et al. (2019) Identification of constituents affecting the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines in LPS-induced U937 cells by UHPLC-HRMS-based metabolic profiling of the traditional Chinese medicine formulation Huangqi Jianzhong Tang. Molecules 24(17): 3116.
- Chen Z, Liu L, Gao C, Chen W, Vong C T, et al. (2020) Astragali Radix (Huangqi): A promising edible immunomodulatory herbal medicine. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 258: 112895.
- 17. Choi YY, Kim MH, Hong J, Kim K, Yang W M (2016) Effect of dangguibohyul-tang, a mixed extract of astragalus membranaceus and angelica sinensis, on allergic and inflammatory skin reaction compared with single extracts of astragalus membranaceus or angelica sinensis. Evidence Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine 2016: 5936354.
- Lai PKK, Chan JYW, Cheng L, Lau CP, Han SQB, et al. (2013) Isolation of Anti-Inflammatory Fractions and Compounds from the Root of Astragalus membranaceus. Phytotherapy Research 27(4): 581-587.
- Yu QT, Qi LW, Li P, Yi L, Zhao J, et al. (2007) Determination of seventeen main flavonoids and saponins in the medicinal plant Huang-qi (Radix-Astragali) by HPLC-DAD-ELSD. Journal of Separation Science 30(9): 1292-1299.
- 20. Hopkins A L (2008) Network pharmacology: The next paradigm in drug discovery. Nature Chemical Biology 4(11): 682-690.
- 21. More SA, Patil AS, Sakle NS, Mokale SN (2021) Network analysis and molecular mapping for SARS- CoV-2 to reveal drug targets and repurposing of clinically developed drugs. Virology 555: 10-15.
- 22. Agarwal S, Mehrotra R (2016) Mini Review_An Overview of Molecular Docking. JSM Chem 4(2).
- Seeliger D, De Groot BL (2010) Ligand docking and binding site analysis with PyMOL and Autodock/Vina. Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design 24(5):417-422.

- 24. Ru J, Li P, Wang J, Zhou W, Li B, et al. (2014) TCMSP: a database of systems pharmacology for drug discovery from herbal medicines. J Cheminform 6: 13.
- Chen X, Zhou H, Liu Y B, Wang J F, Li H, et al. (2006) Database of traditional Chinese medicine and its application to studies of mechanism and to prescription validation. British Journal of Pharmacology 149(8):1092-1103.
- Xu HY, Zhang YQ, Liu ZM, Chen T, Lv CY, et al. (2019) ETCM: An encyclopaedia of traditional Chinese medicine. Nucleic Acids Research 47(D1): D976-D982.
- Keiser MJ (2013) SEAware 1.6 User Manual. SeaChangePharmaceuticals,Inc.
- Keiser M J, Roth BL, Armbruster BN, Ernsberger P, Irwin JJ (2007) Relating protein pharmacology by ligand chemistry. Nature Biotechnology 25(2): 197-206.
- Daina A, Michielin O, Zoete V (2019) SwissTargetPrediction: updated data and new features for efficient prediction of protein targets of small molecules. Nucleic Acids Research 47(W1): W357-W364.
- Nickel J, Gohlke BO, Erehman J, Banerjee P, Rong WW, et al. (2014) Super-Pred: Update on drug classification and target prediction. Nucleic Acids Research 50(W1): W726-W731.
- Yan D, Zheng G, Wang C, Chen Z, Mao T, et al. (2022) HIT 2.0: An enhanced platform for Herbal Ingredients' Targets. Nucleic Acids Research 50(D1): D1238-D1243.
- 32. Zhou Y, Zhang Y, Lian X, Li F, Wang C, et al. (2022) Therapeutic target database update 2022: Facilitating drug discovery with enriched comparative data of targeted agents. Nucleic Acids Research 50(D1): D1398-D1407.
- Ursu O, Holmes J, Knockel J, Bologa C G, Yang JJ, et al. (2017) DrugCentral: Online drug compendium. Nucleic Acids Research 45(D1): D932-D939.
- 34. Freshour SL, Kiwala S, Cotto KC, Coffman AC, McMichael JF, et al. (2021) Integration of the Drug-Gene Interaction Database (DGIdb 4.0) with open crowdsource efforts. Nucleic Acids Research 49(D1): D1144-D1151.
- 35. Gilson M K, Liu T, Baitaluk M, Nicola G, Hwang L, et al. (2016) BindingDB in 2015: A public database for medicinal chemistry, computational chemistry and systems pharmacology. Nucleic Acids Research 44(D1): D1045-D1053.
- 36. Kim S (2016) Getting the most out of PubChem for virtual screening. In Expert Opinion on Drug Discovery 11(9): 843-855.
- Stelzer G, Rosen N, Plaschkes I, Zimmerman S, Twik M, et al. (2016) The GeneCards Suite: From Gene Data Mining to Disease Genome Sequence Analyses. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics 20(54): 1.30.1-1.30.33.
- Piñero J, Piñero P, Manuel Ramírez Anguita J, Sä Uch Pitarch J, Ronzano F, et al. (2019) The DisGeNET knowledge platform for disease genomics: 2019 update. Nucleic Acids Research 48(D1): D845-D855.
- 39. Oliveros Juan Carlos (2015) Venny. An interactive tool for comparing lists with Venn's diagrams.
- 40. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, et al. (2003) Cytoscape: A software Environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Research 13(11): 2498-2504.
- Carbon S, Douglass E, Good BM, Unni DR, Harris NL, et al. (2021) The Gene Ontology resource: Enriching a GOld mine. Nucleic Acids Research 49(D1): D325-D334.
- 42. Bindea G, Mlecnik B, Hackl H, Charoentong P, Tosolini M, et al. (2009) ClueGO: A Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene ontology and pathway annotation networks. Bioinformatics 25(8): 1091-1103.

- 43. Thorpe EM, Kalafatis M, Xu Y (2023) Exploring the anti-cancer effects of Panax notoginseng through network pharmacology and molecular modeling. Genes Diseases 10(6): 2229-2232.
- 44. Thorpe E, Rizek E, Xu Y (2022) Finding Combinations of Objects Within Categories Using Edges Software.
- 45. Bastian M, Heymann S, Jacomy M (2009) Gephi: An opensource software for exploring and manipulating networks. BT - International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social. International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media 3(1): 361-362.
- 46. Li X, Madhukar Kudke A, Joseph Nepveux VF, Xu Y (2022) Network-Based Pharmacology Study Reveals Protein Targets for Medical Benefits and Harms of Cannabinoids in Humans. Applied Sciences 12(4): 2205.
- O'Boyle NM, Banck M, James CA, Morley C, Vandermeersch T, et al. (2011) Open Babel: An Open chemical toolbox. Journal of Cheminformatics 3(33).
- 48. Morris GM, RuthH, Lindstrom W, Sanner MF, Belew RK, et al. (2009) Software news and updates AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: Automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. Journal of Computational Chemistry 30(16): 2785-2791.
- 49. Trott O, Olson AJ (2009) AutoDock Vina: Improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. Journal of Computational Chemistry 31(2): 455-461.
- Li B, Rui J, Ding X, Yang X (2019) Exploring the multicomponent synergy mechanism of Banxia Xiexin Decoction on irritable bowel syndrome by a systems pharmacology strategy. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 233: 158-168.
- 51. Xiang Z, Sun H, Cai X, Chen D, Zheng X (2015) The study on the material basis and the mechanism for anti-renal interstitial fibrosis efficacy of rhubarb through integration of metabonomics and network pharmacology. Molecular BioSystems 11(4): 1067-1078.
- 52. Iwasaki M, Saito K, Takemura M, Sekikawa K, Fujii H, et al. (2003) TNF-α contributes to the development of allergic rhinitis in mice. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 112(1): 1-7.
- Radman M, Golshiri A, Shamsizadeh A, Zainodini N, Bagheri V, et al. (2015) Toll- like receptor 4 plays significant roles during allergic rhinitis. In Allergologia et Immunopathologia 43(4): 416-420.
- 54. Xu H, Shu H, Zhu J, Song J (2019) Inhibition of TLR4 inhibits allergic responses in murine allergic rhinitis by regulating the NF-κB pathway. Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine 18(1): 761-768.
- Çobanoğlu B, Toskala E, Ural A, Cingi C (2013) Role of leukotriene antagonists and antihistamines in the treatment of allergic rhinitis. Current Allergy and Asthma Reports 13(2): 203-208.
- Duroudier NP, Tulah AS, Sayers I (2009) Leukotriene pathway genetics and pharmacogenetics in allergy. In Allergy: European Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 64(6): 823-839.
- 57. Jia Z, Wang X, Wang X, Wei P, Li L, et al. (2018) Calycosin alleviates allergic contact dermatitis by repairing epithelial tight junctions via down-regulating HIF-1 α . Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine 22(9): 4507-4521.
- Lee EJ, Ji GE, Sung MK (2010) Quercetin and kaempferol suppress immunoglobulin E-mediated allergic inflammation in RBL-2H3 and Caco-2 cells. Inflammation Research 59(10): 847-854.
- Ryu SY, Oak MH, Yoon SK, Cho DI, Yoo GS et al. (2000) Anti-allergic and anti- inflammatory triterpenes from the herb of Prunella vulgaris. Planta Medica 66(4): 358-360.
- 60. Shin D, Park SH, Choi YJ, Kim YH, Antika LD, et al. (2015) Dietary com-

pound kaempferol inhibits airway thickening induced by allergic reaction in a bovine serum albumin- induced model of asthma. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 16(12): 29980-29995.

- Wang H, Yuan R, Cao Q, Wang M, Ren D, et al. (2020) Astragaloside III activates TACE/ADAM17-dependent anti-inflammatory and growth factor signaling in endothelial cells in a p38-dependent fashion. Phytotherapy Research 34(5): 1096-1107.
- Schrödinger LLC (2015) The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version~1.8.

ISSN: 2574-1241

DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2024.55.008706

Yan Xu. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res

CCC O O This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

Submission Link: https://biomedres.us/submit-manuscript.php



Assets of Publishing with us

- Global archiving of articles
- Immediate, unrestricted online access
- Rigorous Peer Review Process
- Authors Retain Copyrights
- Unique DOI for all articles

https://biomedres.us/